

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/762,726	726 01/22/2004		Susan M. Danehower	PC24990A	5624
28523	7590	05/04/2006		ËXAMINER	
PFIZER IN	IC.		CAPPS, KEVIN J		
PATENT D		ENT, MS8260-1611 AD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GROTON, CT 06340				1617	·

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/762,726	DANEHOWER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin J. Capps	1617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Mar</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This      Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are withdrawn from 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-14</u> is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-14</u> is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	om consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to by the for displaying on the following of the following of the drawing o	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 1617

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Status of the Claims

1. Claims 1-14 were amended and claim 15 cancelled in an Amendment filed on March 3, 2006. Claims 1-14 are pending. Claims 1-3 and 5-14 are examined on the merits herein.

### Election/Restrictions

- 2. Applicant's election of Group I in the reply filed on March 3, 2006, is acknowledged. Applicant's election of the specie of antimuscarinic agent tolteridine is also acknowledged. Claims 1-3 and 5-14 read on the elected specie. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 3. Claim 4 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on March 3, 2006.
- 4. The Examiner notes that Applicant has amended claims 1-14 such that they are now drawn to a method of treating unstable or overactive bladder, which is Group II in the Restriction Requirement. Applicant has further cancelled claim 15, which was the only claim in Group II. All claims now pending are drawn to a method of treating unstable or overactive bladder, which is Group II. In view of the amendments to claims

Art Unit: 1617

1-14 and cancellation of claim 15, Applicant has constructively elected to prosecute the claims drawn to a method of treating unstable or overactive bladder, despite the fact that Applicant indicated an election of Group I. The Examiner maintains the restriction between a method of making a composition comprising an antimuscarinic agent and a method of treating unstable or overactive bladder. The claims 1-3 and 5-14, drawn to a method of treating unstable or overactive bladder with the elected specie tolteridine, are examined on the merits herein.

### **Priority**

5. Applicant's claim of priority to US provisional application 60/441,690, filed January 22, 2003, is acknowledged.

# Claim Objections

- 6. Claims 1-3 and 5-14 are objected to because of the following informalities: The limitation that the antimuscarinic agent is administered "and when needed" is unclear. It appears that the word "and" is a typographical error and that the agent should be administered ---when needed---. Appropriate correction is required.
- 7. Claims 6 and 10-14 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim may not serve as a basis for any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

Art Unit: 1617

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

9. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The limitation that "related compounds" of tolterodine can be administered in the instantly claimed method renders the claim indefinite as to what compounds are to be administered in the claimed method. The specification states that the term "related compounds" encompasses some specific metabolites, optical isomers and salts of tolterodine, and that another tolterodine-related compound is fesoterodine (p. 2). It is unclear, however, if other compounds are encompassed by the definition "related compounds" and are within the scope of the instantly claimed methods.

Therefore, the metes and bounds of patent protection sought for the instantly claimed methods have not been defined.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section

351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 11. Claims 1-3 and 5-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nilvebrant et al. (US 6,630,162, filed November 9, 2000).
- 12. Nilvebrant et al. teach a method of treating overactive urinary bladder comprising orally administering a formulation containing tolterodine (claim 16; column 1, lines 30-48). Nilvebrant et al. teach that the tolterodine is marketed as immediate-release tablets containing 1 mg or 2 mg doses of the tolterodine (column 1, lines 49-53). Nilvebrant et al. teach that the recommended dosage is usually taken twice daily (column 1, lines 49-53). Nilvebrant et al. do not explicitly teach that the method is for humans. However, Nilvebrant et al. teach that a clinical trial was conducted with tolterodine for the treatment of overactive bladder in patients and that the compound is marketed for the treatment of overactive bladder (column 8, lines 29-60; column1, lines 49-55). Thus, it is clear that the method of treating urinary overactive bladder taught by Nilvebrant et al. comprising administering tolterodine is applicable to humans. Nilvebrant et al. exemplify twice daily administration of 2 mg tolterodine in an instant-release formulation (column 8, lines 27-60). The teaching of a twice daily administration by Nilvebrant et al. anticipates the herein claimed interval for administration of within 8-12 hours, or any of the hours within the range, because administration at an interval of more than 12 hours would be less than twice daily, and administration at an interval of less than 8 hours would be more than twice daily. Nilvebrant et al. also teach a method of treating an overactive bladder comprising orally administering tolterodine in a controlled-release formulation (claim 16; column 2, lines 19-26). Nilvebrant et al. exemplify administration

Art Unit: 1617

of a 4 mg dose of tolterodine in the controlled-release formulation for treating overactive urinary bladder (column 8, lines 27-60). Thus, Nilvebrant et al. anticipate the instantly claimed methods.

- 13. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gren et al. (WO 00/27364, published May 18, 2000) and under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) over the corresponding US Patent (US 6,911,217, filed November 11, 1999).
- 14. Gren et al. teach a method of treating overactive bladder which comprises administering a controlled-release formulation comprising tolterodine as the active ingredient (claim 20 of '364 and claim 19 of '217). Gren et al. teach that "[t]he overactive bladder condition gives rise to urinary frequency, urgency and/or urge incontinence." (p. 6, lines 21-22 of '364 and column 4, lines 60-61 of '217). Therefore, it is clear that the method of treating urinary incontinence comprising administering tolterodine achieves symptomatic relief of urgency and/or frequency. Thus, Gren et al. anticipate the instantly claimed methods.
- 15. Claims 1-3 and 5-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nilvebrant et al. (WO 00/12069, published March 9, 2000).
- 16. Nilvebrant et al. teach a method of treating overactive urinary bladder comprising orally administering tolterodine (claims 1, 6 and 8). Nilvebrant et al. do not explicitly teach that the method is for humans. However, Nilvebrant et al. teach that a clinical trial was conducted with tolterodine for the treatment of overactive bladder in patients (pp.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,726

Art Unit: 1617

10-11). Thus, it is clear that the method of treating urinary overactive bladder taught by Nilvebrant et al. comprising administering tolterodine is applicable to humans. Nilvebrant et al. exemplify twice daily oral administration of 2 mg tolterodine in an instant-release formulation (Figure 1 and p. 7, lines 31-37). The teaching of a twice daily administration by Nilvebrant et al. anticipates the herein claimed interval for administration of within 8-12 hours, or any of the hours within the range, because administration at an interval of more than 12 hours would be less than twice daily, and administration at an interval of less than 8 hours would be more than twice daily. Nilvebrant et al. also teach a method of treating an overactive bladder comprising administering tolterodine in a controlled-release tablet for oral administration (claim 6). Nilvebrant et al. exemplify once daily oral administration of 4 mg tolterodine in the controlled-release capsule for treating overactive urinary bladder (Figure 1 and p. 7, lines 31-37). Thus, Nilvebrant et al. anticipate the instantly claimed methods.

Page 7

- 17. Claims 1-3 and 5-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kreilgård et al. (6,770,295, filed August 26, 1999).
- Kreilgård et al. teach a method of treating overactive urinary bladder comprising 18. orally administering tolterodine (claims 1, 5 and 7). Kreilgård et al. do not explicitly teach that the method is for humans. However, Kreilgård et al. teach that a clinical trial was conducted with tolterodine for the treatment of overactive bladder in patients (column 5, line 55-column 6, line 8). Thus, it is clear that the method of treating urinary overactive bladder taught by Kreilgård et al. comprising administering tolterodine is applicable to

Art Unit: 1617

humans. Kreilgård et al. exemplify twice daily oral administration of 2 mg tolterodine in an instant-release formulation (Figure 1 and column 4, lines 40-46). The teaching of a twice daily administration by Kreilgård et al. anticipates the herein claimed interval for administration of within 8-12 hours, or any of the hours within the range, because administration at an interval of more than 12 hours would be less than twice daily, and administration at an interval of less than 8 hours would be more than twice daily. Kreilgård et al. also teach a method of treating an overactive bladder comprising administering tolterodine in a controlled-release tablet for oral administration (claim 5). Kreilgård et al. exemplify once daily oral administration of 4 mg tolterodine in the controlled-release capsule for treating overactive urinary bladder (Figure 1 and column 4, lines 40-46). Thus, Kreilgård et al. anticipate the instantly claimed methods.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 19. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 20. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Art Unit: 1617

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

- 21. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gren et al. (WO 00/27364).
- 22. Gren et al. teach as stated above. Gren et al. also exemplify controlled-release formulations for administration in the method of treating overactive urinary bladder which comprise effective doses of 2 mg and 4 mg of tolterodine tartrate.
- 23. Gren et al. do not explicitly teach that the method is for the treatment of humans.
- 24. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to administer the controlled-release formulations comprising 2 mg or 4 mg of tolterodine tartrate to humans in a method of treating urinary incontinence.
- 25. The person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to administer the controlled-release formulations comprising 2 mg or 4 mg of tolterodine tartrate to humans in a method of treating urinary incontinence because Gren et al. teach the method for treatment of urinary incontinence in general and do not exclude the treatment of humans. Therefore, because no patient is excluded from the method by Gren et al., any patient with overactive urinary bladder would be treatable by the method absent evidence to the contrary. The person of ordinary skill in the art would have expected success because Gren et al. teach the method as being generally applicable to any patient, including human, with overactive urinary bladder.

#### Conclusion

26. No claims are allowed.

Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin J. Capps whose telephone number is (571) 272-8646. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KC

BAN-MING HUI PRIMARY EXAMINER